arXiv:1401.3822v3 [math.AP] 8 Jul 2014

Global solutions for semilinear Klein-Gordon equations in FLRW spacetimes

Anahit Galstian[1] **and Karen Yagdjian**[2]

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas-Pan American,
1201 W. University Drive, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA
[1] *agalstyan@utpa.edu* [2] *yagdjian@utpa.edu*

Abstract

We consider waves, which obey the semilinear Klein-Gordon equation, propagating in the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetimes. The equations in the de Sitter and Einstein-de Sitter spacetimes are the important particular cases. We show the global in time existence in the energy class of solutions of the Cauchy problem.

Keywords Klein-Gordon equation · Einstein-de Sitter universe · de Sitter universe · global solutions

1 Introduction

In this article we consider the Klein-Gordon equation in the spacetimes belonging to some family of the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetimes (FLRW spacetimes). In the FLRW spacetime, one can choose coordinates so that the metric has the form $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)d\sigma^2$. (See, e.g., [5].) This family includes, as a particular case, the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + t^\ell \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \,, \tag{1.1}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and $\ell = \frac{4}{n\gamma}$. The function $a(t)$ is the scaling factor. The time dependence of the function $a(t)$ is determined by the Einstein's field equations for gravity, which for the perfect fluid imply

$$\dot{\mu} = -3(\mu + p)\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\,, \qquad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\mu + 3p)\,, \qquad \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}\mu - \frac{K}{a^2}\,,$$

where μ is the proper energy density, p is pressure, and K is spatial curvature. The last equations give a differential equation for $a = a(t)$ if an equation of state (equation for the pressure) $p = p(\mu)$ is known. For pressureless, $p = 0$, matter distribution in the universe and vanishing spatial curvature, $K = 0$, the solution to that equation is

$$a(t) = a_0 t^{2/3}\,,$$

where $a_0 > 0$ is a constant. The universe expands, and its expansion decelerates since $\ddot{a} < 0$. In the radiation dominated universe, the equation of state is $p = \mu/3$ and, consequently, $a(t) = a_0 t^{1/2}$. The equation of state $p = (\gamma - 1)\mu$, which includes those two cases of the matter- and radiation- dominated universe, implies that in order to have a non-negative pressure for a positive density, it must be assumed that $\gamma \geq 1$ for the physical space with $n = 3$ (see [3] p.122). The spacetime with $\gamma = 1$ and $n = 3$ is called the Einstein-de Sitter universe. We reveal in this paper the significance of the restriction $\gamma \geq 1$ on the range of ℓ; in fact, we show that it is closely related to the non-growth of the energy and to the existence of the global in time solution of the Cauchy problem for the Klein-Gordon equation. Another important spacetime, the so-called de Sitter spacetime, is also a member of that family and it will be discussed as well.

In quantum field theory the matter fields are described by a function ψ that must satisfy equations of motion. In the case of a massive scalar field, the equation of motion is the semilinear Klein-Gordon equation generated by the metric g:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g(x)|}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{|g(x)|}g^{ik}(x)\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^k}\right) = m^2\psi + V'_\psi(x,\psi)\,. \tag{1.2}$$

In physical terms this equation describes a local self-interaction for a scalar particle. A typical example of a potential function would be $V(\phi) = \phi^4$. The semilinear equations are also commonly used models for general nonlinear problems.

To motivate our approach, we first consider the covariant Klein-Gordon equation in the metric (1.1), which can be written in the global coordinates as follows

$$\psi_{tt} - t^{-\ell}\Delta\psi + \frac{n\ell}{2t}\psi_t + m^2\psi + V'_\psi(x,t,\psi) \quad = \quad 0\,.$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and Δ is the Laplace operator on the flat metric, $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$.

We study the Cauchy problem with the data prescribed at some positive time t_0

$$\psi(t_0,x) = \psi_0, \quad \psi_t(t_0,x) = \psi_1\,, \tag{1.3}$$

and we look for the solution defined for all values of $t \in [t_0,\infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We change the unknown function $\psi = t^{-\frac{\ell n}{4}}u$, then for the new function $u = u(t,x)$ we obtain the equation

$$u_{tt} - t^{-\ell}\Delta u + M^2(t)u + t^{n\ell/4}V'_\psi(x,t,t^{-\frac{\ell n}{4}}u) \quad = \quad 0$$

with the "effective" (or "curved mass")

$$M^2_{EdS}(t) := m^2 - \frac{n\ell(n\ell-4)}{16t^2}\,. \tag{1.4}$$

It is easily seen that for the range $(0,\frac{4}{n}]$ of the parameter ℓ the curved mass is positive while its derivative is non-positive. This is crucial for the non-increasing property of the energy and in the derivation of the energy estimate.

Let (V,g) be smooth pseudo Riemannian manifold of dimension $n+1$ and $V = \mathbb{R}\times S$ with S an n-dimensional orientable smooth manifold, and g be a FLRW metric. We restrict our attention to the case of $n \geq 3$ and to the spacetime with the line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\sigma\,.$$

Then we consider an *expanding universe* that means that $\dot a(t) > 0$. For the metric with $\dot a(t) > 0$ we define the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\,\psi\,\|_{X(t)} \quad := \quad & \|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} + \|a^{-1}(\cdot)\nabla_\sigma\psi\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} \\ & + \|M(\cdot)\psi\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} + \|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\,\nabla_\sigma\psi\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $0 < t_0 < t \leq \infty$ and $M(t) \geq 0$ is a curved mass defined by:

$$M^2(t) = m^2 + \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n^2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)^2 - \frac{n}{2}\frac{\ddot a(t)}{a(t)}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Hence, in the classification suggested in [16], mass m is large if the metric g is a de Sitter metric $-dt^2 + e^{2t}dx^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here and henceforth $\dot a(t)$ denotes the derivative with respect to time, while the spatial variable will be denoted s in a general manifold S and x when $S = \mathbb{R}^n$. In order to describe admissible nonlinearities we make the following definition.

Condition ($\mathcal{L}$). *The function $F = F(s,u)$, $F : S \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous in u with exponent α, if there exist $\alpha \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$|F(s,u) - F(s,v)| \leq C|u-v|\,(|u|^{\alpha} + |v|^{\alpha}) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in S\,.$$

For the continuous function $\Gamma \in C([t_0,\infty))$ denote by $C_{a,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)$ and $C^{(-1)}_{a,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(r)$ the function

$$C_{a,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) := \left(\int_{t_0}^{T} \left(\frac{a(t)}{\dot a(t)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{4-n\alpha_0}} |\Gamma(t)|^{\frac{4}{4-n\alpha_0}}\ dt\right)^{\frac{4-n\alpha_0}{4}}, \qquad 0 < \alpha_0 < \frac{4}{n}\,.$$

and its inverse, respectively. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that $n = 3, 4$ and that the metric g is $g = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\sigma$. Suppose also that $m > 0$ and that there is a positive number c_0 such that the real-valued positive function $a = a(t)$ satisfies*

$$a(t) > 0, \quad \dot a(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [t_0,\infty)\,, \tag{1.7}$$

$$M(t) > c_0 > 0, \quad \dot M(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [t_0,\infty)\ . \tag{1.8}$$

Consider the Cauchy problem for the equation (1.2) with the derivative of potential function $V'_{\psi}(s,t,\psi) = -\Gamma(t)F(s,\psi)$ such that F is Lipschitz continuous with exponent α, $F(s,0) = 0$ for all $s \in S$, and either

$$|\Gamma(t)| \leq C_{\Gamma}\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [t_0,\infty)\,, \tag{1.9}$$

where C_{Γ} is a constant independent of t, or, there is α_0 such that

$$C_{a,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\infty) < \infty, \qquad 0 < \alpha_0 < \frac{4}{n}\,. \tag{1.10}$$

If $\frac{4}{n} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$, then for every $\psi_0 \in H_{(1)}(S)$ and $\psi_1 \in L^2(S)$, sufficiently small initial data, $\|\psi_0\|_{H_{(1)}(S)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(S)}$, the problem (1.2),(1.3) has a unique solution $\psi \in C([t_0,\infty); H_{(1)}(S)) \cap C^1([t_0,\infty); L^2(S))$ and its norm $\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}\psi\|_{X(\infty)}$ is small.

Condition (1.8) for the norm of solutions of the equation implies that the energy of solution is non-increasing. In the next theorem the local existence is stated with the less restrictive conditions and with the estimate for the lifespan.

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that $m > 0$ and that there is a positive number c_0 such that the real-valued positive function $a = a(t)$ satisfies (1.7), (1.8). Consider the Cauchy problem for the equation (1.2) with the derivative of potential function $V'_{\psi}(s,t,\psi) = -\Gamma(t)F(s,\psi)$ such that F is Lipschitz continuous with exponent α, $F(s,0) = 0$ for all $s \in S$.*

If $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$, then for every $\psi_0 \in H_{(1)}(S)$ and $\psi_1 \in L^2(S)$ there exists $T_1 > t_0$ such that the problem (1.2),(1.3) has a unique solution $\psi \in C([t_0,T_1); H_{(1)}(S)) \cap C^1([t_0,T_1); L^2(S))$.

The lifespan of the solution can be estimated as follows

$$T_1 - t_0 \geq C C^{(-1)}_{a,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\|\psi_0\|_{H_{(1)}(S)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(S)})\,,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of T_1, ψ_0 and ψ_1.

If the nonlinear term has an energy conservative potential function, then in the next theorem we establish the existence of the global solution for large initial data.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose that all conditions of Theorem 1.2 on n, α, and $a = a(t)$, are satisfied, and additionally,*

$$\frac{2}{n}\frac{a(t)}{\dot a(t)}V'_t(t,s,a^{-n/2}(t)w) + 2V(t,s,a^{-n/2}(t)w) - a^{-n/2}(t)wV'_{\psi}(s,a^{-n/2}(t)w) \leq 0 \tag{1.11}$$

for all $(t,s,w) \in [t_0,\infty) \times S \times \mathbb{R}$.

Then for every $\psi_0 \in H_{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the problem (1.2),(1.3) has a unique solution $\psi \in C([t_0,\infty); H_{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([t_0,\infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and its norm $\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}\psi\|_{X(\infty)}$ is finite.

The hyperbolic equations in the de Sitter spacetime have permanently bounded domain of influence. Nonlinear equations with a permanently bounded domain of influence were studied, in particular, in [15]. In that paper the example of equation, which has a blowing-up solution for arbitrarily small data, is given. Moreover, it was discovered in [15] that the time-oscillation of the metric, due to the parametric resonance, can cause blowup phenomena for wave map type nonlinearities even for the arbitrarily small data. On the other hand in the absence of oscillations in the metric, Choquet-Bruhat [4] proved for small initial data the global existence and uniqueness of wave maps on the FLRW expanding universe with the metric $\mathbf{g} = -dt^2 + R^2(t)\sigma$ and a smooth Riemannian manifold (S, σ) of dimension $n \leq 3$, which has a time independent metric σ and non-zero injectivity radius, and with $R(t)$ being a positive increasing function such that $1/R(t)$ is integrable on $[t_0, \infty)$. If the target manifold is flat, then the wave map equation reduces to a linear system. On the other hand, in the Einstein-de Sitter spacetime the domain of influence is not permanently bounded.

In the de Sitter space, that is, in the spacetime with the line element

$$ds^2 = -\,dt^2 + e^{2t} \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j\,, \tag{1.12}$$

the second author [17]-[18] studied the Cauchy problem for the semilinear equation

$$\Box_g u + m^2 u = f(u), \qquad u(x,t_0) = \varphi_0(x) \in H_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ \ u_t(x,t_0) = \varphi_1(x) \in H_{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n)\,,$$

if $s > n/2$ and f is Lipschitz continuous with exponent α. In [17]-[18] a global existence of small data solutions of the Cauchy problem for the semilinear Klein-Gordon equation and systems of equations in the de Sitter spacetime is proved. It was discovered that unlike the same problem in the Minkowski spacetime, no restriction on the order of nonlinearity is required, provided that a physical mass of the field belongs to some set, $m \in (0, \sqrt{n^2-1}/2] \cup [n/2, \infty)$. It was also conjectured that $(\sqrt{n^2-1}/2, n/2)$ *is a forbidden mass interval for the small data global solvability of the Cauchy problem for all* $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. For $n = 3$ the interval $(0, \sqrt{2})$ is called the Higuchi bound in quantum field theory [6]. The proof of the global existence in [17]-[18] is based on the $L^p - L^q$ estimates.

Baskin [2] discussed small data global solutions for the scalar Klein-Gordon equation on asymptotically de Sitter spaces, which are compact manifolds with boundary. More precisely, in [2] the following Cauchy problem is considered for the semilinear equation

$$\Box_g u + m^2 u = f(u), \qquad u(x,t_0) = \varphi_0(x) \in H_{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ \ u_t(x,t_0) = \varphi_1(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\,,$$

where mass is large, $m^2 > n^2/4$, f is a smooth function and satisfies conditions $|f(u)| \leq c|u|^{\alpha+1}$, $|u| \cdot |f'(u)| \sim |f(u)|$, $f(u) - f'(u) \cdot u \leq 0$, $\int_0^u f(v)dv \geq 0$, and $\int_0^u f(v)dv \sim |u|^{\alpha+2}$ for large $|u|$. It is also assumed that $\alpha = \frac{4}{n-1}$. In Theorem 1.3 [2] the existence of the global solution for small energy data is stated. (For more references on the asymptotically de Sitter spaces, see the bibliography in [1], [14].)

Hintz and Vasy [7] considered semilinear wave equations of the form

$$(\Box_g - \lambda)u = f + q(u, du)$$

on a manifold M, where q is typically a polynomial vanishing at least quadratically at $(0,0)$, in contexts such as asymptotically de Sitter and Kerr-de Sitter spaces, as well as asymptotically Minkowski spaces. The linear framework in [7] is based on the b-analysis, in the sense of Melrose, introduced in this context by Vasy to describe the asymptotic behavior of solutions of linear equations. Hintz and Vasy have shown the small data solvability of suitable semilinear wave and Klein-Gordon equations.

Nakamura [8] considered the Cauchy problem for the semi-linear Klein-Gordon equations in de Sitter spacetime with $n \leq 4$, that is, with the line element (1.12). The nonlinear term is of power type for $n = 3, 4$, or of exponential type for $n = 1, 2$. For the power type semilinear term with $\frac{4}{n} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$ Nakamura [8] proved the existence of global solutions in the energy class.

Ringström [10] considered the question of future global non-linear stability in the case of Einstein's equations coupled to a non-linear scalar field. The class of potential $V(\psi)$ is restricted by the condition $V(0) > 0$, $V'(0) = 0$ and $V''(0) > 0$. Ringström proved that for given initial data, there is a maximal

globally hyperbolic development of the data which is unique up to isometry. The case of Einstein's equations with positive cosmological constant was not included unless the scalar field is zero.

Rodnianski and Speck [11] proved the nonlinear future stability of the FLRW family of solutions to the irrotational Euler-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant. More precisely, they studied small perturbations of the family of FLRW cosmological background solutions to the coupled Euler-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant in $1+3$ spacetime dimensions. The background solutions model an initially uniform quiet fluid of positive energy density evolving in a spacetime undergoing exponentially accelerated expansion. Their analysis shows that under the equation of state $p = (\gamma - 1)\mu$, $0 < \gamma - 1 < 1/3$, the background metric plus fluid solutions are globally future-stable under small irrotational perturbations of their initial data.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we derive the energy estimates. Then, in Section 3, we give the estimate for the nonlinear term. The completion of the proof of Theorems 1.1,1.2,1.3 is given in Section 4. To illustrate our results we discuss some examples in that section.

2 The linear Klein-Gordon equation

2.1 FLRW universe. The effect of the damping term

The goal of the transformation that has been used in [16] and will be used in this subsection is twofold; on one hand, it reduces the damping term and makes possible to prove non increase property of the energy and, on the another hand, it provides the nonlinear term with some weight function, which is decreasing in time. In fact, this is a particular case of the Liouville transformation that is used to study boundedness, stability and asymptotic behavior of solutions of the second order differential equations.

The line element in the theorems for the FLRW spacetime implies

$$g_{00} = g^{00} = -1,\ g_{0j} = g^{0j} = 0,\ g_{ij} = a^2(t)\delta_{ij}(x),\ |g| = a^{2n}(t)|\det\delta(x)|,\ g^{ij} = a^{-2}(t)\delta^{ij}(x),$$

$i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where $\delta^{ij}(x)\delta_{jk}(x) = \delta^i_k$ (Kronecker symbol) and the metric σ in the local chart is given by $\delta_{ik}(x)$.

The linear covariant Klein-Gordon equation in that background is $\Box_g\psi = m^2\psi - f$ and in the coordinates this equation can be written as follows

$$\psi_{tt} - \frac{1}{a^2(t)\sqrt{|\det\delta(x)|}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{|\det\delta(x)|}\delta^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\psi\right) + n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\psi_t + m^2\psi \quad = \quad f\,.$$

In order to eliminate the damping term $n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\psi_t$ we introduce the new unknown function $\psi = b(t)u$, then the equation for u is

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{tt} - \frac{1}{a^2(t)\sqrt{|\det\delta(x)|}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{|\det\delta(x)|}\delta^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}\right) + \left(2\frac{\dot b(t)}{b(t)} + n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)u_t \\ &+ \left(n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\frac{\dot b(t)}{b(t)} + \frac{\ddot b(t)}{b(t)}\right)u + m^2u = \frac{1}{b(t)}f\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We look for the function $b = b(t)$ such that the following equation is fulfilled:

$$2\frac{\dot b(t)}{b(t)} + n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)} = 0\,.$$

In particular, we can choose

$$b(t) = a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Consequently, the coefficient of u_t vanished while the coefficient of the term with u of the equation (2.1) became

$$n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\frac{\dot b(t)}{b(t)}+\frac{\ddot b(t)}{b(t)}=\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n^2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)^2-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\ddot a(t)}{a(t)}\,.$$

Hence, the Klein-Gordon equation for the function $u=u(x,t)$ can be written as follows:

$$u_{tt}-\frac{1}{a^2(t)\sqrt{|\det\delta(x)|}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{|\det\delta(x)|}\delta^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}\right)$$
$$+\left(m^2+\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n^2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)^2-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\ddot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)u=\frac{1}{b(t)}f\,.$$

We denote the coefficient of the last equation by

$$c(t):=m^2+\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n^2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)^2-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\ddot a(t)}{a(t)}\,.$$

For the FLRW spacetime with $a(t)=t^{\ell/2}$, $\ell\le\frac{4}{n}$, and

$$g_{00}=g^{00}=-1,\ g_{0j}=g^{0j}=0,\ g_{ij}=t^{\ell}\delta_{ij}(x),\ |g|=t^{\ell n}|\det\delta(x)|,\ g^{ij}=t^{-\ell}\delta^{ij}(x)\,,$$

$i,j=1,\dots,n$, in accordance with [3] p.124, we have

$$c(t)=m^2-\frac{n\ell(n\ell-4)}{16t^2}>0\,,\quad \dot c(t)=\frac{n\ell(n\ell-4)}{8t^3}\le 0\quad\text{for}\qquad m>0,\quad \ell\le\frac{4}{n}\,.$$

The last inequalities suggest the assumptions on $a(t)$, m, and n:

$$\begin{aligned}c(t)&=m^2+\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n^2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)^2-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\ddot a(t)}{a(t)}>0\quad\text{for all large}\quad t\quad\text{and}\quad m>0\,,\\ \dot c(t)&=\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n^2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)^2-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\ddot a(t)}{a(t)}\right)\le 0\quad\text{for all large}\quad t\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to study the equation (1.2) we can first consider the following linear equation

$$u_{tt}-\frac{1}{a^2(t)}\Delta_\sigma u+M^2(t)u=\frac{1}{b(t)}f\,,$$

with the curved mass $M(t)$, $M^2(t)=c(t)$, of (1.6), and derive in the next subsection for the solutions the energy estimates. Here Δ_σ is a Laplace-Beltrami operator in the metric σ.

2.2 Energy estimate

In this subsection we show that for the large physical mass m the expansion property of the de Sitter metric leads, via transformation (2.2), to the dissipative effect for the Klein-Gordon equation. Eventually the dissipation prevents the blow up of the solution of the nonlinear equation.

First we consider the solution $u=u(t,x)$ of the equation without source term, $f=0$,

$$u_{tt}-\frac{1}{a^2(t)}\Delta_\sigma+M^2(t)u=0\,.$$

For a Riemannian manifold (S,σ) we denote by ∇_σ the covariant gradient and by $d\mu_\sigma$ the volume element in the metric σ. The Sobolev space $W_s^p(S)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_s^p(S)}:=\left(\int_S\sum_{0\le|\alpha|\le s}|\partial^\alpha u|^p\,d\mu_\sigma\right)^{1/p},\quad 1\le p<\infty\,.$$

We define the energy of the solution $u = u(t,s)$ by

$$E(t) \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}M^2(t)\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(t))_t\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(t))_t\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} \le 0\,.$$

Integration gives

$$E(t) \;-\; \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(\tau))_\tau\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(\tau))_\tau\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)}\right] d\tau = E(t_0)\,.$$

In particular, due to the assumptions on $a(t)$ and $M(t)$ we obtain $E(t) \le E(t_0)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_t\|^2_{L^2(S)} + a^{-2}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + M^2(t)\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} \\ \le\; & \|u_t(t_0)\|^2_{L^2(S)} + a^{-2}(t_0)\|\nabla_\sigma u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2(S)} + M^2(t_0)\|u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2(S)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $0 < M_0 \le M(t) \le M_1 < \infty$ for all $t \ge t_0$ with some constants M_0, M_1.

Consider now the solution u of the equation

$$u_{tt} - a^{-2}(t)\Delta_\sigma u + M^2(t)u = g \tag{2.4}$$

with the source term g. Here and henceforth, if A and B are two non-negative quantities, we use $A \lesssim B$ to denote the statement that $A \le CB$ for some absolute constant $C > 0$.

Proposition 2.1 *Assume that conditions (1.7) and (1.8) are fulfilled. Then, the solution $u = u(t,s)$ of the equation (2.4) satisfies the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_t\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} + \|a^{-1}(t)\nabla u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} + \|M(t)u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} \\ & + \|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\,\nabla u\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} + \|\sqrt{|\dot c|}\,u\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} \\ \le\; & C_E\left(\|u_t(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla u(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|u(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)}\right) \\ & + C_E\|g(x,\tau)\|_{L^1([t_0,t];L^2(S))} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > t_0$, where $C_E > 0$ is a number independent of t and u.

Proof. For the energy $E(t)$ (2.3) of the solution $u = u(t,s)$ of (2.4) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(t))_t\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(t))_t\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \int_S (\partial_t u)g\,d\mu_\sigma\,.$$

Integration gives

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) \;-\; & \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(\tau))_\tau\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(\tau))_\tau\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)}\right] d\tau \\ =\; & E(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t\int_S u_t(\tau)g(\tau)\,d\mu_\sigma\,dt\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_t\|^2_{L^2(S)} + a^{-2}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + M^2(t)\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} \\ & - \int_{t_0}^t \left[(a^{-2}(\tau))_\tau\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + (M^2(\tau))_\tau\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)}\right] d\tau \\ \le\; & \|u_t(t_0)\|^2_{L^2(S)} + a^{-2}(t_0)\|\nabla_\sigma u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2(S)} + M^2(t_0)\|u(t_0)\|^2_{L^2(S)} \\ & + 2\max_{t_0\le\tau\le t}\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(S)}\int_{t_0}^t \|g(\tau)\|_{L^2(S)}\,d\mu_\sigma\,d\tau\,. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_t\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t)\|u\|_{L^2(S)} \\
&+\left|\int_{t_0}^{t}\left[(a^{-2}(\tau))_\tau\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + (M^2(\tau))_\tau\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)}\right]d\tau\right|^{1/2} \\
\lesssim\ & \|u_t(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla_\sigma u(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} \\
&+\varepsilon\max_{t_0\le\tau\le t}\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_{t_0}^{t}\|g(\tau)\|_{L^2(S)}\,d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

is fulfilled. In fact, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_t\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t)\|u\|_{L^2(S)} \\
&+\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\,\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} + \|\sqrt{|(M^2(t))_t|}\,u\|^2_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)}\right)^{1/2} \\
\lesssim\ & \|u_t(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla_\sigma u(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} \\
&+\varepsilon\max_{t_0\le\tau\le t}\|u_t(x,t)\|_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_{t_0}^{t}\|g(x,\tau)\|_{L^2(S)}\,d\tau\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and, consequently, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_t\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t)\|u\|_{L^2(S)} \\
&+\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\,\nabla_\sigma u\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} + \|\sqrt{|\dot c|}\,u\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} \\
\lesssim\ & \|u_t(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla_\sigma u(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2(S)} + \|g\|_{L^1([t_0,t];L^2(S))}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. □

Corollary 2.2 *Under condition of the proposition we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{X(t)} + \|\sqrt{|\dot c|}\,u\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} \ \le\ & C_E\Big(\|u_t(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla u(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} \\
&+M(t_0)\|u(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} + \|g(x,\tau)\|_{L^1([t_0,t];L^2(S))}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t > t_0$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{X(t)} \ \le\ & C_E\Big(\|u_t(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla u(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|u(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(S)}\Big) \\
&+C_E\|g(x,\tau)\|_{L^1([t_0,t];L^2(S))}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t > t_0$.

3 Estimate of self-interaction term

The next lemma is a simple consequence of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see, e.g., [12], p.22 and Lemma 8.2 [13]) and we give detailed proof for the sake of the self-completeness of this paper.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that $\alpha \ge 0$. If $|F(s,\varphi)| \le C|\varphi|^{\alpha+1}$ for all $(s,\varphi) \in S\times\mathbb{R}$, then the inequality*

$$\|F(s,\varphi(s))\|_{L^2(S)} \ \le\ C_1\|\varphi(s)\|^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}_{H^1(S)}\|\varphi(s)\|^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}_{L^2(S)} \tag{3.1}$$

holds provided that $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$. Moreover, if $F(s,\varphi)$ is Lipschitz continuous in φ with exponent α, and $n \geq 3$, $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(s,\varphi_1(s)) - F(s,\varphi_2(s))\|_{L^2(S)} \\ \leq\ & C_1 \max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \left(\|\theta(s)\|_{H^1(S)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(s)-\varphi_2(s)\|_{H^1(S)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\ & \times \max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \left(\|\theta(s)\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(s)-\varphi_2(s)\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. First we consider the case of $S = \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, we have to prove that if $|F(x,\varphi)| \leq C|\varphi|^{\alpha+1}$ for all $(x,\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the inequality

$$\|F(x,\varphi(x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1 \|\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \tag{3.3}$$

holds provided that $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$. Moreover, if $F(x,\varphi)$ is Lipschitz continuous in φ with exponent α, then (3.2) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(x,\varphi_1(x)) - F(x,\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \leq\ & C_1 \max_{\psi=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \left(\|\nabla\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\nabla(\varphi_1(x)-\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\|\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(x)-\varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The proof of the inequality (3.3) is very similar to the one of (3.4) with $\varphi_2 = 0$ and it does not require for F to be Lipschitz continuous in φ, therefore we prove only the last one. Let $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1$, then by property of F and by Hölder's inequality we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(x,\varphi_1(x)) - F(x,\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ \lesssim\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_1(x)-\varphi_2(x)|^2 (|\varphi_1(x)|+|\varphi_2(x)|)^{2\alpha}\, dx \\ \lesssim\ & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_1(x)-\varphi_2(x)|^{2p_1}\, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\varphi_1(x)|+|\varphi_2(x)|)^{2\alpha q_1}\, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} . \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\varphi(x) = \varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)$ and $\psi(x) = |\varphi_1(x)| + |\varphi_2(x)|$, then

$$\|F(x,\varphi_1(x)) - F(x,\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^{2p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\alpha q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\alpha} .$$

Now we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [12], p.22 and Lemma 8.2 [13])

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\vartheta} \|\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\vartheta}$$

with $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$. More precisely, we set

$$r_1 = 2p_1, \quad \frac{1}{2p_1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\vartheta_1}{n}, \qquad r_2 = 2\alpha q_1, \quad \frac{1}{2\alpha q_1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\vartheta_2}{n} ,$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^{2p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\vartheta_1} \|\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\vartheta_1} , \\ \|\psi(x)\|_{L^{2\alpha q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \|\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\vartheta_2} \|\nabla\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\vartheta_2} . \end{aligned}$$

If we choose

$$p_1 = \alpha + 1, \quad q_1 = \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}, \quad \vartheta_1 = \frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)} = \vartheta_2\,,$$

then

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_{L^{2p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}} \|\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}$$

and

$$\|\psi(x)\|_{L^{2\alpha q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}} \|\nabla\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}\,.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F(x,\varphi_1(x)) - F(x,\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \lesssim\ & \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}} \|\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}} \|\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\alpha(1-\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)})} \|\nabla\psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\alpha\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.4) is proven.

Next we turn to the case of manifold S. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a local chart with local coordinates $x \in U$. If $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$, $\psi \geq 0$, then due to (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(x)F(x,\varphi(x))\|_{L^2(U)} &\lesssim \||\psi(x)\varphi(x)|^{\alpha+1}\|_{L^2(U)} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla(\psi(x)\varphi(x))\|_{L^2(U)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \|\psi(x)\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(U)}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi(x)\|_{H^1(U)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(U)}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\psi_j\}$ be a locally finite partition of unity on S subordinated to the cover $\{U_j\}$. Then due to (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x,\varphi(x))\|_{L^2(S)} &= \sum_j \|\psi_j(x)F(x,\varphi(x))\|_{L^2(U_j)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_j \|\varphi(x)\|_{H^1(U_j)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \|\varphi(x)\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{2}{n-2} \geq \alpha$, we have $\alpha + 1 - \frac{n\alpha}{2} \geq 0$, and, consequently, due to the inequality $(\sum_n a_n)^k \lesssim \sum_n a_n^k \lesssim (\sum_n a_n)^k$ for non-negative numbers k, a_1,a_2,$\dots$,a_n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x,\varphi(x))\|_{L^2(S)} &\lesssim \left(\sum_j \|\varphi(s)\|_{H^1(U_j)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \left(\sum_j \|\varphi(s)\|_{L^2(U_j)}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi(s)\|_{H^1(S)}^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \|\varphi(s)\|_{L^2(S)}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.1) is proven. Now for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$, $\psi \geq 0$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\psi(x)F(x,\varphi_1(x)) - \psi(x)F(x,\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(U)} \\ \lesssim\ & \max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \left(\|\nabla(\psi(x)\theta(x))\|_{L^2(U)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\nabla(\psi(x)\varphi_1(x) - \psi(x)\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(U)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\ &\times \left(\|\theta(x)\|_{L^2(U)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(U)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where (3.4) has been used. If $\{\psi_j\}$ is a locally finite partition of unity on S subordinated to the cover $\{U_j\}$, then

$$\|F(s,\varphi_1(s)) - F(s,\varphi_2(s))\|_{L^2(S)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_j \|\psi_j(x)(F(x,\varphi_1(x)) - F(x,\varphi_2(x)))\|_{L^2(U_j)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_j \left(\max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \|\nabla(\psi_j(x)\theta(x))\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\nabla(\psi_j(x)\varphi_1(x) - \psi_j(x)\varphi_2(x))\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \|\theta(x)\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_j \max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \|\theta(x)\|_{H^1(U_j)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|(\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x))\|_{H^1(U_j)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\sum_j \max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \|\theta(x)\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(U_j)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \|\theta(x)\|_{H^1(S)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{H^1(S)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\max_{\theta=\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \|\theta(x)\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

proves (3.2). Lemma is proven. □

The next proposition gives the estimate of the self-interaction term, which is transformed by the reduction of the damping term $n\dot{a}(t)/a(t)\psi_t$ of the equation. It is also connected with the energy of the linear equation. In the next proposition the norm (1.5) with $S = \mathbb{R}^n$ will be used. Define the function $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)$ as follows

$$
\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad (1.9) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{4}{n}, \\ C_{a,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T), & \text{if} \quad (1.9) \textit{ or } (1.10) \text{ and} \quad \alpha_0 < \frac{4}{n}\,. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}
$$

Proposition 3.2 *Assume that $n\alpha \geq 4$. If $|F(x,\varphi)| \leq C|\varphi|^{\alpha+1}$ for all $(x,\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the inequality*

$$
\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \quad \lesssim \quad \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) \parallel u \parallel_{X(T)}^{\alpha+1},
$$

holds for all $T \in (t_0,\infty)$, with the functions $a(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$ satisfying conditions (1.9) or (1.10) of Theorem 1.1.

Moreover, if, additionally, $F(x,\varphi)$ is Lipschitz continuous in φ with exponent α, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u) - F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
\lesssim \quad &\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) \left(\max_{w=u,v} \parallel w \parallel_{X(t)}^{\alpha} \right) \parallel u - v \parallel_{X(t)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}
$$

Proof. We prove only the second part of the proposition since the proof of the first one is very similar, but it does not appeal to the above mentioned additional condition on F. Denote $b(t) = a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)$, $\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}$. From (3.4) we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,b(t)u) - F(x,b(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
\leq \quad &\int_{t_0}^T b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\|F(x,b(t)u) - F(x,b(t)v)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,dt \\
\lesssim \quad &\int_{t_0}^T b^{\alpha}(t)\Gamma(t) \max_{w=u,v} \left(\|\nabla w(x,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|\nabla(u(x,t) - v(x,t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha+1)} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\|w(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \|u(x,t) - v(x,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{(1-\widetilde{\alpha})(\alpha+1)} dt\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the positive function $\mu = \mu(t)$ this leads to the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,b(t)u)-F(x,b(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \qquad (3.7)\\ \lesssim & \int_{t_0}^{T}\mu(t)b^{\alpha}(t)\Gamma(t)\\ & \max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha+1)}\\ & \qquad\times\left(\|w(x)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|u(x,t)-v(x,t)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{(1-\widetilde{\alpha})(\alpha+1)}dt\ , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha+1)}=\frac{2}{n\alpha}\,.$$

Now we set

$$\mu(t)=a(t)^{\frac{2n\alpha+n\alpha_0}{4}}|\dot{a}(t)|^{-\frac{n\alpha_0}{4}} \qquad (3.8)$$

and consider two cases: (B) (1.9) and $\alpha_0=\frac{4}{n}$; (U) $0<\alpha_0<\frac{4}{n}$ and (1.9) or (1.10).

In the first case (B) due to (1.9) we obtain

$$\mu(t)b^{\alpha}(t)|\Gamma(t)|\le const\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,b(t)u)-F(x,b(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\ \lesssim & \int_{t_0}^{T}\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\ & \qquad\times\left(\|w(x)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|u(x,t)-v(x,t)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}dt\\ \lesssim & \max_{w=u,v}\left(\|w(x)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\|u(x,t)-v(x,t)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\ & \times\int_{t_0}^{T}\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}dt\,. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the last integral, where $\mu(t)$ is replaced with its definition (3.8). After long but simple transformations we arrived at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{T}\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}dt\\ = & \int_{t_0}^{T}\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|a(t)^{-1}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\times\|a(t)^{-1}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\ & \times\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\sqrt{\dot{a}(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}dt\\ \lesssim & \max_{w=u,v}\Big(\|a(t)^{-1}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\ & \qquad\times\|a(t)^{-1}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\Big)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\ & \times\int_{t_0}^{T}\max_{w=u,v}\Big(\|\sqrt{\dot{a}(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ & \qquad\times\|\sqrt{\dot{a}(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}dt\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,b(t)u)-F(x,b(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\
\lesssim\ &\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|w(x)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\|u(x,t)-v(x,t)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\
&\times\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|a(t)^{-1}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\|a(t)^{-1}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\
&\times\int_{t_0}^T\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}dt\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

We set here $\alpha_0=\frac{4}{n}\le\alpha$ and by means of the condition $\alpha\le\frac{2}{n-2}$ and by the Hölder inequality with

$$
p_2=\frac{4(\alpha+1)}{n\alpha_0\alpha}\,,\quad q_2=\frac{4(\alpha+1)}{n\alpha_0}\,,
$$

derive for the integral of the last inequality the following estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{t_0}^T\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}dt\\
&\le\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla w(x,t)\|_{L^2([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\,dt\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}\\
&\quad\times\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|_{L^2([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\,dt\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2(\alpha+1)}}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)-F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\
\lesssim\ &\widetilde C_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\|u-v\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\
&\times\left(\|a^{-1}(t)\nabla_x w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\|a^{-1}(t)\nabla_x(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\
&\times\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\nabla_x w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\nabla_x(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, consequently, (3.6).

In the second case (U) with $\alpha_0<\frac{4}{n}$ we use (3.7) with $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{q_1}=1$, and $\widetilde\alpha=\frac{n\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}$. We choose α_0,p_1,q_1 such that

$$
\frac{1}{p_1}=1-\frac{n\alpha_0}{4}>0\,,\quad\frac{1}{q_1}=\frac{n\alpha_0}{4}>0\,,\quad 0<\alpha_0<\frac{4}{n}\,,
$$

and then apply Hölder inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(x,b(t)u)-F(x,b(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\
\lesssim\ &\left(\int_{t_0}^T[\mu(t)b^\alpha(t)\Gamma(t)]^{p_1}\,dt\right)^{1/p_1}\\
&\times\left(\int_{t_0}^T\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla w(x,t)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t)\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\widetilde\alpha(\alpha+1)q_1}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\times\left(\|w(x)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|u(x,t)-v(x,t)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{(1-\widetilde\alpha)(\alpha+1)q_1}dt\right)^{1/q_1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we use definition of the function $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)$ (3.5) that, in this case, reads

$$\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) = \left(\int_{t_0}^{T} [\mu(t) b^{\alpha}(t) \Gamma(t)]^{p_1} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} .$$

Hence we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t) \left(F(x, b(t)u) - F(x, b(t)v) \right) \|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
\lesssim \; & \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) \\
& \times \left(\int_{t_0}^{T} \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| \mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t) \nabla w(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \| \mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t) \nabla (u(x,t) - v(x,t)) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha+1)q_1} \right. \\
& \qquad \left. \times \left(\| w(x) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \| u(x,t) - v(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{(1-\widetilde{\alpha})(\alpha+1)q_1} \, dt \right)^{1/q_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t) \left(F(x, b(t)u) - F(x, b(t)v) \right) \|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
\lesssim \; & \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T) \\
& \times \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| w(x) \|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \| u(x,t) - v(x,t) \|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{(1-\widetilde{\alpha})(\alpha+1)} \\
& \times \left(\int_{t_0}^{T} \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| \mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t) \nabla w(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \right. \right. \\
& \qquad \left. \left. \times \| \mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t) \nabla (u(x,t) - v(x,t)) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha+1)q_1} \, dt \right)^{1/q_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate the integral of the above inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_0}^{T} \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| \mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t) \nabla w(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \| \mu^{-\frac{2}{n\alpha}}(t) \nabla (u(x,t) - v(x,t)) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha+1)q_1} dt \\
\leq \; & \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| a^{-1}(t) \nabla w(x,t) \|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \right. \\
& \qquad \left. \times \| a^{-1}(t) \nabla (u(x,t) - v(x,t)) \|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2} q_1} \\
& \qquad \times \int_{t_0}^{T} \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| \sqrt{\dot{a}(t) a(t)^{-3}} \nabla w(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \right. \\
& \qquad\qquad \left. \times \| \sqrt{\dot{a}(t) a(t)^{-3}} \nabla (u(x,t) - v(x,t)) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2} q_1} dt .
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the last integral, and we set $\alpha_0 = \frac{4}{nq_1} < \alpha$ and apply Hölder's inequality with

$$p_2 = \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{\alpha_0 n \alpha q_1} , \quad q_2 = \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{\alpha_0 n q_1} , \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{4}{nq_1} < \alpha .$$

Thus we obtain

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} \max_{w=u,v} \left(\| \sqrt{\dot{a}(t) a(t)^{-3}} \nabla w(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \right.$$

$$\times \|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\Big)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}q_1}\,dt$$

$$\leq \max_{w=u,v}\Big(\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla w(x,t)\|_{L^2([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{\frac{n\alpha_0\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}q_1}$$
$$\times\Big(\|\sqrt{\dot a(t)a(t)^{-3}}\nabla(u(x,t)-v(x,t))\|_{L^2([t_0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2(\alpha+1)}q_1}\,.$$

To check (3.6) of the statement we just apply the inequality from Problem 78[9]. Proposition is proven. □

In the special case of the de Sitter spacetime, $a(t)=\exp(Ht)$ and $\Gamma=const$, the last proposition implies results of Lemma 3.1 [8]. The next proposition is the analogue of Proposition 3.2 but for general manifolds.

Proposition 3.3 *Assume that $n\alpha\geq 4$. If $|F(s,\varphi)|\leq C|\varphi|^{\alpha+1}$ for all $(s,\varphi)\in S\times\mathbb{R}$, then the inequality*

$$\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))} \leq C_N\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\parallel u\parallel_{X(T)}^{\alpha+1}, \tag{3.9}$$

holds for all $T\in(t_0,\infty)$, with the functions $a(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$ satisfying conditions (1.9) or (1.10) of Theorem 1.1. The function $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)$ is defined in (3.5)

Moreover, if, additionally, $F(s,\varphi)$ is Lipschitz continuous in φ with exponent α, then

$$\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)-F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))}$$
$$\leq C_N\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\left(\max_{w=u,v}\parallel w\parallel_{X(t)}^{\alpha}\right)\parallel u-v\parallel_{X(t)}\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Let $U\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ be a local chart with local coordinates $x\in U$. If $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(U)$, then due to Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\varphi(x)F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u(x.t))\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$$
$$\lesssim \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\|\varphi(x)u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U))}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}$$
$$\times\|a^{-1}(t)\nabla_x(\varphi(x)u(x,t))\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U))}^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\nabla_x(\varphi(x)u(x,t))\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U)}^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}$$
$$\lesssim \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\|u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U))}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\|a^{-1}(t)u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(U))}^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}$$
$$\times\left(\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U)}^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}+\|\sqrt{\dot a a^{-3}}\nabla_x u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U)}^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}\right).$$

Let $\{\psi_j\}$ be a locally finite partition of unity on S subordinated to the cover $\{U_j\}$. Then

$$\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_j\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\psi_j F(x,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(U_j))}$$
$$\lesssim \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\sum_j\|u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\|a^{-1}(t)u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(U_j))}^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}$$
$$\times\left(\|\sqrt{|\dot a|a^{-3}}u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}+\|\sqrt{|\dot a|a^{-3}}\nabla_x u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}\right)$$
$$\lesssim \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\left(\sum_j\|u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}$$
$$\times\left(\sum_j\|a^{-1}(t)u(x,t)\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(U_j))}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}$$
$$\times\left(\sum_j\left(\|\sqrt{|\dot a|a^{-3}}u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}+\|\sqrt{|\dot a|a^{-3}}\nabla_x u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\right)\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}.$$

This proves the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))}\\
\lesssim\ & \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\|u\|^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(S))}\\
&\times\|a^{-1}(t)u\|^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(S))}\left(\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}u\|^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_x u\|^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (3.9) we note that

$$\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}u(x,t)\|_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\quad\lesssim\quad a^{-1}(t_0)(\|M(\cdot)u\|_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}).$$

To prove (3.10) we apply Proposition 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)-F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))}\\
\lesssim\ & \sum_j\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\psi_j\left(F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)-F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(U_j))}\\
\lesssim\ & \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\sum_j\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\|u-v\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\
&\times\left(\|a^{-1}(t)\nabla_\sigma(\psi_j w)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\|a^{-1}(t)\nabla_\sigma(\psi_j(u-v))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\
&\times\left(\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma(\psi_j w)\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma(\psi_j(u-v))\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}\\
\lesssim\ & \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\sum_j\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\|u-v\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(U_j))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\
&\times\max_{w=u,v}\left(\|a^{-1}(t)w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(U_j))}\|a^{-1}(t)(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(U_j))}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\
&\times\max_{w=u,v}\Bigg(\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times U_j)}\Bigg)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\Gamma(t)\left(F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)u)-F(s,a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)v)\right)\|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))}\\
\lesssim\ & \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T)\left(\left(\max_{w=u,v}\|w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(S))}\right)\|u-v\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);L^2(S))}\right)^{\alpha+1-\frac{n\alpha}{2}}\\
&\times\left(\left(\max_{w=u,v}\|a^{-1}(t)w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(S))}\right)\|a^{-1}(t)(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^\infty((t_0,T);H^1(S))}\right)^{\frac{n(\alpha-\alpha_0)}{2}}\\
&\times\Bigg[\max_{w=u,v}\Bigg(\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma w\|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\nabla_\sigma (u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}_{L^2((t_0,T)\times S)}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.10). Proposition is proven. □

4 Completion of the proof of theorems. Examples

4.1 Integral equation. Proof of theorems.

Now we consider the Cauchy problem for the equation

$$\Box_g\psi = m^2\psi + V'_\psi(t,x,\psi)\,.$$

It can be written as an equation for $u = a^{\frac{n}{2}}(t)\psi$:

$$u_{tt} - \frac{1}{a^2(t)}\Delta_\sigma u + M^2(t)u = -\frac{1}{b(t)}V'_\psi(t,x,b(t)u)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

with $b(t) = a^{-\frac{n}{2}}(t)$ and with the curved mass (1.6). Here Δ_σ is Laplace-Beltrami operator in the metric σ.

Denote by G the solution operator for the Cauchy problem

$$u_{tt} - \frac{1}{a^2(t)}\Delta_\sigma u + M^2(t)u = f\,, \quad u(t_0,s) = u_t(t_0,s) = 0\,.$$

By applying the operator G to the equation (4.1), the problem can be rewritten as an integral equation for the function $\Phi = \Phi(t,s)$:

$$\Phi(t,s) \;\; = \;\; \Phi_0(t,s) - G\big[b^{-1}(t)V'_\psi(t,x,b(t)\Phi)\big](t,s)\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Here $\Phi_0(t,s)$ is a given function. For the numbers $R>0$ and $T>t_0$ we define the complete metric space

$$X(R,T) := \{\Phi \in C([t_0,T];H_{(1)}(S)) \cap C^1([t_0,T];L^2(S)) \mid \ \|\ \Phi\ \|_{X(T)} \leq R\}$$

with the metric

$$d(\Phi_1,\Phi_2) := \|\ \Phi_1 - \Phi_2\ \|_{X(T)}\ .$$

Denote by $C^{(-1)}_{\mu,a,\Gamma}(r)$ the function inverse to $C_{\mu,a,\Gamma}(T)$, which is given by the second case of (3.5), then $C^{(-1)}_{\mu,a,\Gamma}(0) = t_0$. The following theorem guarantees local and global solvability of the integral equation (4.2).

Theorem 4.1 *(i) Assume that conditions of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied. Then for every $\Phi_0 \in X(R,T)$ there exist $T_1 > t_0$, $R_1 > 0$, and the unique (local) solution $\Phi \in X(R_1,T)$ of the equation (4.2). The life span $T_1 - t_0 > 0$ can be estimated from below as follows: there is C such that for every $R_1 > R$,*

$$T_1 - t_0 \geq C\min\left\{C^{(-1)}_{\mu,a,\Gamma}\left(\frac{R_1-R}{c_0R}\right), C^{(-1)}_{\mu,a,\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{c_0R_1^\alpha}\right)\right\}.$$

(ii) Assume that conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied, then there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every given function $\Phi_0 \in X(\varepsilon,T)$ with small norm

$$\|\ \Phi_0\ \|_{X(T)} \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0,$$

$0 < T \leq \infty$, the integral equation (4.2) has a unique solution $\Phi \in X(2\varepsilon,T)$ and

$$\|\ \Phi\ \|_{X(T)} \leq 2\varepsilon\,.$$

Proof. Consider the mapping

$$S[\Phi](t,s) := \Phi_0(t,s) + G[b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)F(b(t)\Phi)](t,s)\,.$$

Due to the triangle inequality for the X norm, for every $T_1 \in (t_0, T]$ we have

$$\| S[\Phi] \|_{X(T_1)} \leq \| \Phi_0 \|_{X(T_1)} + \| G[b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)F(b(t)\Phi)] \|_{X(T_1)} \ . \tag{4.3}$$

Meanwhile, according to Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 3.3, we derive

$$\| G[b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)F(b(t)\Phi)] \|_{X(T_1)} \quad \leq \quad C_N C_E \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) \|\Phi\|^{\alpha+1}_{X(T_1)}, \tag{4.4}$$

with the function $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1)$ (3.5), the constant C_E of Corollary 2.2 and C_N of Proposition 3.3. For the local existence ($T_1 < \infty$) we choose the second case of (3.5), while for the global existence ($T_1 = \infty$) the first case can be used as well.

In order to prove local solvability, we claim that for some $R_1 > R$ the operator S maps $X(R_1, T_1)$, into itself and that S is a contraction provided that $T_1 - t_0$ is sufficiently small. Indeed, for $\Phi(x,t) \in X(R_1, T_1)$ inequalities (4.3) and (4.4) imply

$$\| S[\Phi] \|_{X(T_1)} \quad \leq \quad R + C_N C_E \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) \|\Phi\|^{\alpha+1}_{X(T_1)} \leq R + C_N C_E \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) R_1 < R_1$$

provided that $T_1 - t_0$ is sufficiently small since $\lim_{T_1 \to t_0} \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) = 0$. To prove that S is a contraction we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| S[\Phi] - S[\Psi] \|_{X(T_1)} \\
= \ & \| G[b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\,(F(b(t)\Phi) - F(b(t)\Psi))] \|_{X(T_1)} \\
\leq \ & C_N C_E \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) \, \| \, b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\,(F(b(t)\Phi) - F(b(t)\Psi)) \, \|_{L^1([t_0,T_1];L^2(S))} \\
\leq \ & C_N C_E \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) \max_{\Omega=\Phi,\Psi} \| \, \Omega \, \|^{\alpha}_{X(T)} \| \, \Phi - \Psi \, \|_{X(T_1)}
\end{aligned}$$

and then choose T_1 such that $C_N C_E \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) R_1^{\alpha} < 1$ due to $\lim_{T_1 \to t_0} \widetilde{C}_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(T_1) = 0$.

In order to prove global solvability ($T_1 = T = \infty$), we are going to prove that for the given $\Phi_0(x,t) \in X(\varepsilon, \infty)$, the operator S maps $X(\varepsilon_1, \infty)$, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$, into itself and that S is a contraction provided that ε_0 and ε_1 are sufficiently small. Thus,

$$\| S[\Phi] \|_{X(\infty)} \quad \leq \quad \| \Phi_0 \|_{X(\infty)} + C_N C_E C_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\infty) \|\Phi\|^{\alpha+1}_{X(\infty)}\,,$$

and the operator S maps the space $X(\varepsilon_1, \infty)$ into itself provided that

$$\varepsilon + C_N C_E C_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\infty) \varepsilon_1^{\alpha+1} \leq \varepsilon_1\,.$$

To prove that S is a contraction we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| S[\Phi](x,t) - S[\Psi] \|_{X(\infty)} \\
= \ & \| G[b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\,(F(b(t)\Phi) - F(b(t)\Psi))] \|_{X(\infty)} \\
\leq \ & C_N C_E C_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\infty) \, \| \, b^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)\,(F(b(t)\Phi) - F(b(t)\Psi)) \, \|_{L^1([t_0,T];L^2(S))} \\
\leq \ & C_N C_E C_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\infty) \max_{\Omega=\Phi,\Psi} \| \, \Omega \, \|^{\alpha}_{X(\infty)} \| \, \Phi - \Psi \, \|_{X(\infty)}
\end{aligned}$$

and choose $C_N C_E C_{\alpha,\Gamma,\alpha_0}(\infty) \max\limits_{\Omega=\Phi,\Psi} \| \, \Omega \, \|^{\alpha}_{X(\infty)} < 1$. Theorem is proven. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have to prove that the function $\Phi_0(x,t)$, generated by the Cauchy problem (1.3) for the linear equation without source, belongs to $X(\varepsilon, T)$ and that it has sufficiently small norm. Indeed, according to Corollary 2.2, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_t \Phi_0\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} + \|a^{-1}(\cdot)\nabla\Phi_0\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} + \|M(\cdot)\Phi_0\|_{L^\infty([t_0,t];L^2(S))} \\
& + \|\sqrt{\dot{a}a^{-3}}\,\nabla\Phi_0\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} + \|\sqrt{|\dot{c}|}\,\Phi_0\|_{L^2([t_0,t]\times S)} \\
\leq \ & C_E\left(\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2(S)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for the solution of the linear problem without source, consequently,

$$\|\Phi_0\|_{X(T)} \le C_E \left(\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(S)} + a^{-1}(t_0)\|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{L^2(S)} + M(t_0)\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2(S)} \right).$$

It remains to set the right hand side of the last inequality sufficiently small by the proper choice of the initial functions and to apply the statement (ii) of Theorem 4.1. Theorem is proven. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We just repeat the above argument and then apply the statement (i) of Theorem 4.1. Theorem is proven. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. With the potential function $V(t,x,u)$, we define the energy

$$\begin{aligned} & E_V(t) \\ := \; & \frac{1}{2}\Big\{ \|u_t\|^2_{L^2(S)} + a^{-2}(t)\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + M^2(t)\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + b^{-2}(t)\int_S V(t,s,b(t)u(t,s))\,d\mu_\sigma \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E_V(t) \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(t))_t\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(t))_t\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\int_S b^{-2}(t)\Big\{ V_t(t,s,b(t)u(t,s)) - 2b^{-1}(t)\dot b(t)V(t,s,b(t)u(t,s)) \\ & + \dot b(t)u(t,x)V_\psi(s,b(t)u(t,s)) \Big\}\,d\mu_\sigma\,. \end{aligned}$$

Assumption (1.11) implies

$$V_t(t,s,b(t)u(t,s)) - 2b^{-1}(t)\dot b(t)V(t,s,b(t)u(t,s)) + \dot b(t)u(t,x)V_\psi(s,b(t)u(t,s)) \le 0$$

for all $t \in [t_0,\infty)$, $s \in S$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$. The integration gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_V(t) \;-\; & \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(\tau))_\tau\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(\tau))_\tau\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} \right\} d\tau \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_0}^t\int_S b^{-2}(\tau)\Big\{ V_t(\tau,s,b(\tau)u(\tau,s)) - 2b^{-1}(\tau)\dot b(\tau)V(\tau,s,b(\tau)u(\tau,s)) \\ & + \dot b(\tau)u(\tau,x)V_\psi(s,b(\tau)u(\tau,s)) \Big\}\,d\mu_\sigma\,d\tau = E_V(t_0)\,. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, due to the assumption (1.11) and assumptions on $a = a(t)$ and $M = M(t)$, we obtain

$$E_V(t) - \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(a^{-2}(\tau))_\tau\|\nabla_\sigma u\|^2_{L^2(S)} + \frac{1}{2}(M^2(\tau))_\tau\|u\|^2_{L^2(S)} \right\} d\tau \le E_V(t_0)\,.$$

Since $E_V(t)$ does not blow up in finite time, the local solution can be extended globally for all $t \ge t_0$. Theorem is proven. □

4.2 Examples

To make examples more transparent, in this subsection we can restrict them to the case of the manifold S with the single global chart, that is $S = \mathbb{R}^n$. In that case the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_σ in the metric σ can be simplified and the equation (1.2) can be rewritten as follows

$$\psi_{tt} - \frac{1}{a^2(t)\sqrt{|\sigma(x)|}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{|\sigma(x)|}\sigma^{ik}(x)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^k} \right) + n\frac{\dot a(t)}{a(t)}\psi_t + m^2\psi = -V'_\psi(t,x,\psi)\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where the coefficients $\sigma^{ik}(x)$, $i,k=1,2,\ldots,n$, belong to the space $B^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the functions with the uniformly bounded derivatives. Moreover, the symmetric form $\sigma^{ik}(x)\xi_i\xi_k$ is positive: $\sigma^{ik}(x)\xi_i\xi_k \geq const > 0$ for all x, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\xi|=1$.

Example 4.2 Consider the equation (1.2) with $V'_\psi(x,\psi) = -\Gamma(t)F(s,\psi)$, $a(t)=t^{\ell/2}$, $\ell>0$:

$$\psi_{tt} - t^{-\ell}\Delta_\sigma\psi + \frac{n\ell}{2t}\psi_t + m^2\psi \quad = \quad \Gamma(t)F(s,\psi)\,.$$

The number $\ell = 4/3$ for $n=3$ coincides with the Einstein-de Sitter exponent. We make change $\psi = t^{-n\ell/4}u$, then

$$u_{tt} - t^{-\ell}\Delta_\sigma u + M^2(t)u \quad = \quad t^{n\ell/4}\Gamma(t)F(s,t^{-\frac{n\ell}{4}}u)$$

with the curved mass $M^2_{EdS}(t)$ is given by (1.4). If $n\ell = 4$ and, in particular, in the case of the Einstein-de Sitter spacetime with $n=3$ and $l=4/3$ the curved mass coincides with the physical mass, and the equation is

$$u_{tt} - t^{-4/3}\Delta_\sigma u + m^2 u = t\Gamma(t)F(s,t^{-1}u)\,.$$

The condition (1.8) means $n\ell \leq 4$. The condition (1.9) reads

$$|\Gamma(t)| \lesssim t^{-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq t_0\,.$$

For (1.10) we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{4-n\alpha_0}}\,|\Gamma(t)|^{\frac{4}{4-n\alpha_0}}\;dt < \infty\,,$$

where $0 < n\alpha_0 < 4$. If $\Gamma(t) = t^\gamma$, then the last integral is convergent if $\gamma < -1$.

Example 4.3 Consider a spacetime with the scale function

$$a(t) = \exp(Ht^\beta), \quad H,\beta \in \mathbb{R}\,,$$

and

$$c(t) = m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\beta H n t^{\beta-2}\left(\beta H n t^\beta + 2\beta - 2\right),$$
$$\dot{c}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)\beta H n t^{\beta-3}\left(\beta + \beta H n t^\beta - 2\right).$$

If H is positive, then it can be regarded as the Hubble constant. For the condition (1.7) we have to set $\beta H > 0$. For the condition (1.8) we consider two cases:
1. $\beta > 0$. Both conditions of (1.8) are fulfilled only if $\beta = 1$ and $0 < H < \frac{2m}{n}$. That is a case of the de Sitter metric and the large mass in the classification of [16]. For $\beta = 1$ the condition (1.9) reads

$$|\Gamma(t)| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq t_0.$$

The condition (1.10) for $\Gamma(t) = t^\gamma$ implies $\gamma < -1 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0 n$.
The condition (1.11) for the self-interaction $V'_\psi(x,\psi) = -\Gamma(t)\mu(x)|\psi|^\alpha\psi$ and $\beta = 1$ is satisfied if $\dot{\Gamma}(t) \leq \frac{\alpha n H}{2}\Gamma(t)$, that is $0 \leq \Gamma(t) \leq C\exp(\frac{\alpha n H}{2}t)$, and $0 < c_0 \leq \mu(x) \leq c_1$. We do not know if the last condition on $\Gamma(t)$ is a necessary restriction for the case of the energy conservative potentials.
2. $\beta < 0$. In this case $H < 0$. Both conditions of (1.8) are fulfilled for $\beta < 0$ and $H < 0$.
For the condition (1.9) we obtain

$$|\Gamma(t)| \lesssim t^{\beta-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq t_0$$

while for (1.10) we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{(1-\beta)\frac{n\alpha_0}{4-n\alpha_0}}\,|\Gamma(t)|^{\frac{4}{4-n\alpha_0}}\;dt < \infty,$$

where $0 < n\alpha_0 < 4$. If $\Gamma(t) = t^\gamma$, then for the convergence of the last integral we need $\gamma < -1 + \frac{1}{4}\beta n\alpha_0$. Hence, γ must decay with the rate constant:

$$\gamma \leq \beta - 1 \quad or \quad \gamma < \frac{n\alpha_0}{4}\beta - 1\,.$$

We do not know if the last condition is a necessary restriction.

Example 4.4 Consider the following example of the scale function

$$a(t) = t^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \exp(Ht^\beta), \qquad \ell, H, \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{a}(t) &= t^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1}\left(\beta H t^\beta + \frac{\ell}{2}\right)\exp(Ht^\beta),\\
c(t) &= m^2 - \frac{n\left(\beta^2H^2nt^{2\beta} + 2\beta Ht^\beta(\beta + \frac{\ell}{2}n - 1) + \frac{\ell}{2}(\frac{\ell}{2}n - 2)\right)}{4t^2} > 0 \text{ for } \ t \geq t_0\,,\\
\dot{c}(t) &= -\frac{n\left((\beta-1)\beta^2H^2nt^{2\beta} + (\beta-2)\beta Ht^\beta(\beta + \frac{\ell}{2}n - 1) + \lambda(2 - \frac{\ell}{2}n)\right)}{2t^3} \leq 0 \text{ for } \ t \geq t_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here t_0 is sufficiently large number. The case of $\ell = 0$ coincides with Example 4.3, while the case of $\beta = 0$ or $H = 0$ coincides with Example 4.2.
For the conditions (1.7)– (1.10) we consider two separate cases.
1. $\beta > 0$. In this case the condition $\dot{a}(t) > 0$ of (1.7) implies $H > 0$.
The condition $c(t) > 0$ of (1.8) is satisfied for $\beta < 1$ or $\beta = 1$ & $m^2 - \frac{1}{4}(Hn)^2 > 0$, while the condition $\dot{c}(t) \leq 0$ implies $\beta > 1$ or $\beta = 1$ and $H = 0$. Thus, (1.8) is satisfied only for $\beta = 1$ and $H = 0$, which coincides with Example 4.2.

2. $\beta < 0$. In this case the assumption $\dot{a}(t) > 0$ of (1.7) implies $\ell > 0$. Both conditions of (1.8) are satisfied for $0 \leq \ell \leq \frac{4}{n}$. Now, if $\ell > 0$ for the condition (1.9) we obtain

$$|\Gamma(t)| \lesssim t^{-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq t_0\,,$$

and for (1.10) we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{t}{\beta Ht^\beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}\right)^{\frac{n\alpha_0}{4-n\alpha_0}} |\Gamma(t)|^{\frac{4}{4-n\alpha_0}}\, dt < \infty,$$

where $0 < n\alpha_0 < 4$. If $|\Gamma(t)| = t^\gamma$, then for the convergence of the last integral we need $\gamma < -1$. Hence, the condition for the decay rate constant γ coincides with the condition in Example 4.2 .

Finally, we note here that the results of this paper are applicable to the equation (4.5) with x-dependent coefficient, while the results of [15],[17],[18],[8] are restricted to the equation with x-independent coefficients.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for for pointing out the discrepancy in the initial version of Lemma 3.1 and for the numerous remarks, comments and suggestions which improved the readability of the text. The referee also drew our attention to the articles [10, 11].

References

[1] D. Baskin, A parametrix for the fundamental solution of the Klein-Gordon equation on asymptotically de Sitter spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis 259 (7) (2010) 1673–1719.

[2] D. Baskin, Strichartz estimates on asymptotically de Sitter spaces, Ann. Henri Poincaré 14 (2) (2013) 221-252.

[3] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, General relativity and the Einstein equations. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009.

[4] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, Global wave maps on Robertson-Walker spacetimes. Modern group analysis. Nonlinear Dynam. 22 (1) (2000) 39–47.

[5] S.W. Hawking, G.F.R. Ellis, The large scale structure of space-time. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, No. 1. Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1973.

[6] A. Higuchi, Forbidden mass range for spin-2 field theory in de Sitter spacetime, Nuclear Phys. B 282 (2) (1987) 397–436.

[7] P. Hintz, A. Vasy, Semilinear wave equations on asymptotically de Sitter, Kerr-de Sitter and Minkowski spacetimes, arXiv:1306.4705.

[8] M. Nakamura, The Cauchy problem for semi-linear KleinGordone quations in de Sitter spacetime. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410, Issue 1, (2014) 445-454.

[9] G. Pólya, G. Szegö, Problems and theorems in analysis. I. Series, integral calculus, theory of functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 193. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.

[10] H. Ringström, Future stability of the Einstein-non-linear scalar field system. Invent. Math. 173, no. 1 (2008) 123–208.

[11] I. Rodnianski, J. Speck, The nonlinear future stability of the FLRW family of solutions to the irrotational Euler-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15, no. 6 (2013) 2369–2462.

[12] J. Shatah, M. Struwe, Geometric wave equations. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[13] L. Tartar, An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces and Interpolation Spaces, Springer, 2007.

[14] A. Vasy, The wave equation on asymptotically de Sitter-like spaces. Adv. Math. 223 (1) (2010) 49–97.

[15] K. Yagdjian, Global existence in the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave equations with variable speed of propagation. New trends in the theory of hyperbolic equations, 301-385, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 159, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

[16] K. Yagdjian, A. Galstian, Fundamental solutions for the Klein-Gordon equation in de Sitter spacetime. Comm. Math. Phys. 285 (2009) 293–344.

[17] K. Yagdjian, Global existence of the scalar field in de Sitter spacetime. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 396 (1) (2012) 323–344.

[18] K. Yagdjian, Semilinear Hyperbolic Equations in Curved Spacetime. Fourier Analysis, Pseudo-differential Operators, Time-Frequency Analysis and Partial Differential Equations. Series: Trends in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Mathematics, 391–415, 2014.